As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "ONE BUTTON EXTERNAL BACKUP", the specification of which has been prepared and was filed on 09/05/2003, receiving Serial No. 10/656,896, and further identified as Attorney Docket No. 3123-514.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated as Page 4 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e)/120/365 of all United States and PCT international applications, including all United States provisional applications, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No. 60/408,690

1..

Filing Date 09/06/2002

Status: patented, pending, abandoned

Abandoned

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

l)	Inventor's Signature		Date	
	Inventor's Name (typed):	John Hamer	•	
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.		
	Residence:	10310 Lockwood Drive Cupertino, CA 95014	. 41 .	
	Post Office Address*	Same as Residence		

2)	inventor's Signature		Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	James McGrath	
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.	
	Residence:	436 Whisman Park Drive Mountain View, CA 94043	
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	
	*Complete Post Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, of Residence."	otherwise indicate that the Post
3)	Inventor's Signature		Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Patrick Weiher	
·	Citizenship:	U.S.A.	
	Residence:	1236 Lisa Lane Los Altos, CA 94024	
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, o Residence."	therwise indicate that the Post
4)	Inventor's Signature		Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	James Lin	
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.	
	Residence:	1481 N. First St. #3 San Jose, CA 95112	
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, o Residence."	therwise indicate that the Post

5) Inventor's Signature The Date 1-)-2004

Inventor's Name (typed):

Michael Webster

Citizenship:

U.S.A.

Residence:

1777 Braddock Ct.

San Jose, CA 95125

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, (a) and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "ONE BUTTON EXTERNAL BACKUP", the specification of which has been prepared and was filed on 09/05/2003, receiving Serial No. 10/656,896, and further identified as Attorney Docket No. 3123-514.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated as Page 4 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e)/120/365 of all United States and PCT international applications, including all United States provisional applications, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No. 60/408,690

Filing Date 09/06/2002

Status: patented, pending, abandoned

Abandoned

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

1)	Inventor's Signature		Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	John Hamer	
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.	
	Residence:	10310 Lockwood Drive Cupertino, CA 95014	
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	

2)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	James McGrath
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.
	Residence:	436 Whisman Park Drive Mountain View, CA 94043
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post s Residence."
3)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Patrick Weiher
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.
	Residence:	1236 Lisa Lane Los Altos, CA 94024
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Residence."
4)	Inventor's Signature	Jame L- Date # 12/18/20
	Inventor's Name (typed):	/James Lin
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.
	Residence:	1481 N. First St. #3 San Jose, CA 95112
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	lress in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Residence."

5)	Inventor's Signature	Date

Inventor's Name (typed): Michael Webster

Citizenship: U.S.A.

Residence: 1777 Braddock Ct.

San Jose, CA 95125

Post Office Address\*: Same as Residence

<sup>\*</sup>Complete Post Office Address in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Office Address is "Same as Residence."

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, (a) and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*



As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "ONE BUTTON EXTERNAL BACKUP", the specification of which has been prepared and was filed on 09/05/2003, receiving Serial No. 10/656,896, and further identified as Attorney Docket No. 3123-514.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated as Page 4 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e)/120/365 of all United States and PCT international applications, including all United States provisional applications, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.Filing DateStatus: patented, pending, abandoned60/408,69009/06/2002Abandoned

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

1)	Inventor's Signature	·····	Date	•
	Inventor's Name (typed):	John Hamer		
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.		
	Residence:	10310 Lockwood Drive Cupertino, CA 95014		
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence		

<sup>\*</sup>Complete Post Office Address in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Office Address is "Same as Residence."

2)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	James McGrath
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.
	Residence:	436 Whisman Park Drive Mountain View, CA 94043
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Residence."
3)	Inventor's Signature	atrick Weihw Date 12-13-200:
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Patrick Weiher
1 .	Citizenship:	U.S.A.
	Residence:	1236 Lisa Lane Los Altos, CA 94024
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Residence."
4)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	James Lin
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.
	Residence:	1481 N. First St. #3 San Jose, CA 95112
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Residence."

5)	Inventor's Signature	Date
----	----------------------	------

Inventor's Name (typed):

Michael Webster

Citizenship:

U.S.A.

Residence:

1777 Braddock Ct. San Jose, CA 95125

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

<sup>\*</sup>Complete Post Office Address in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Office Address is "Same as Residence."

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "ONE BUTTON EXTERNAL BACKUP", the specification of which has been prepared and was filed on 09/05/2003, receiving Serial No. 10/656,896, and further identified as Attorney Docket No. 3123-514.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated as Page 4 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e)/120/365 of all United States and PCT international applications, including all United States provisional applications, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No. 60/408,690

Filing Date 09/06/2002

Status: patented, pending, abandoned

Abandoned

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

1) Inventor's Signature

The Harren

Date Dec 12, 2003

Inventor's Name (typed):

John Hamer

Citizenship:

U.S.A.

Residence:

10310 Lockwood Drive

Cupertino, CA 95014

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

2)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	James McGrath
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.
	Residence:	436 Whisman Park Drive Mountain View, CA 94043
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Residence."
3)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Patrick Weiher
<b>v</b>	Citizenship:	U.S.A.
	Residence:	1236 Lisa Lane Los Altos, CA 94024
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Residence."
4)	Inventor's Signature	Date
	Inventor's Name (typed):	James Lin
	Citizenship:	U.S.A.
•	Residence:	1481 N. First St. #3 San Jose, CA 95112
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence
	*Complete Post Office Add Office Address is "Same as	dress in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Residence."

5	Inventor's Signature	Date
	mi, direct o premiente	

Inventor's Name (typed):

Michael Webster

Citizenship:

U.S.A.

Residence:

1777 Braddock Ct.

San Jose, CA 95125

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, (a) and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*